Implications of changing El Niño patterns for biological dynamics in the equatorial Pacific Ocean
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[1] El Niño events are known to strongly affect biological production and ecosystem structure in the tropical Pacific. Understanding and predicting biological processes in this area are hampered because the existing in situ observing system focuses primarily on physical measurements and does not observe key biological parameters; the only high spatial and temporal resolution biology-related observations are from the global array of ocean color satellites which provide an estimate of surface chlorophyll concentrations only. Since the 1990s, an apparent shift of the El Niño maximum sea-surface temperature (SST) warm anomaly from the eastern to the central equatorial Pacific has frequently been observed. Satellite observations show significant changes in chlorophyll-a (Chl-a), new production (NP) and total primary production (PP) in the equatorial Pacific associated with these new central Pacific (CP) El Niño events (also called El Niño Modoki) relative to eastern Pacific El Niños. During CP-El Niños, NP, Chl-a and PP in the central basin are depressed relative to EP-El Niños and lower values of Chl-a and PP coincide spatially with higher SST in the central Pacific. While surface Chl-a, and integrated NP and PP over the entire equatorial band, decrease during both CP and EP-El Niños, the magnitude of this decrease seems to depend more on the intensity than type of event. The changing spatial patterns have significant implications for equatorial biological dynamics if, as has been suggested, CP-El Niños increase in frequency in the future. Citation: Turk, D., C. S. Meinen, D. Antoine, M. J. McPhaden, and M. R. Lewis (2011), Implications of changing El Niño patterns for biological dynamics in the equatorial Pacific Ocean, Geophys. Res. Lett., 38, L23603, doi:10.1029/2011GL049674.

1. Introduction

[2] El Niño is known to result in interannual climate fluctuations over the Pacific Ocean, which in turn cause variability in biological production and ecosystem dynamics [e.g., Murtugudde et al., 1999; Chave et al., 1999; McClain et al., 2002; Wang et al., 2005]. A significant decrease in biological production in the central and eastern tropical Pacific, and an increase in the western region, has been observed during
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biological parameters. This study uses time series of satellite estimates of Chlorophyll-a (Chl-a), total primary production (PP) estimated from SeaWiFS ocean color observations following Morel [1991], and new production (NP) estimated from altimeter measurements following Turk et al. [2001] to provide the first description of the biological response associated with CP-El Niño as observed from remote sensing observations during the years 1997–2010. This response is contrasted with that from satellite observations of classical EP-El Niños as well as neutral conditions.

2. Data and Methods

[3] The analysis period presented herein (December 1997 - October 2010) is constrained by the availability of the satellite products, in particular the SeaWiFS data (the satellite was launched in late 1997). All satellite data products are averaged over 1°N to 1°S for consistency with earlier research wherein relationships between in situ NP observations and satellite altimetry were first derived [Turk et al., 2001].

[6] Monthly SST along the equator (1°N to 1°S, 140°E to 100°W) for 1997–2010 is derived by averaging the daily Advanced Very High Resolution Radiometer Optimal Interpolation SST product which has 1/4° resolution (ν2) [Reynolds et al., 2007]. Sea level anomalies (SLA) are derived from the AVISO satellite altimeter dataset, which merges all available altimeter observations. The 20°C isotherm depth (a proxy for the thermocline depth) is available from the direct measurements made by the Tropical Atmosphere Ocean (TAO) buoy array. The buoys have a fairly coarse 15° longitude spacing for these purposes; higher resolution estimates of 20°C isotherm depth were therefore determined from the measured SLA data and a relationship derived earlier between sea level anomaly and thermocline depth [e.g., Meinen, 2005]. Daily NP (mmol N m⁻²) is then calculated via a previously determined linear relationship between 20°C isotherm depth and integrated new production [Turk et al., 2001].

[7] Daily PP (gC m⁻²) was estimated from SeaWiFS Chl-a, Reynolds SST [Reynolds et al., 2007], and photosynthetically available radiation (PAR) [Frouin et al., 2003] using the wavelength-, depth- and time-resolved light-photosynthesis model of Morel [1991]. This model uses the production vs irradiance (P vs E) formalism, with chlorophyll-specific wavelength-resolved absorption and quantum yield. Temperature dependence of PP is expressed through changes in the maximum chlorophyll-specific production rate (Pₘₐₓ), following Eppley [1972]. More details are available in the work of Morel [1991], Morel et al. [1996], and Antoine and Morel [1996]. This model was among the best-performing algorithms that took part in an intercomparison exercise using in situ ¹⁴C data from the tropical Pacific [Friedrichs et al., 2009]. Further discussion of the skill and limitations of such satellite PP models can be found in the work of Saba et al. [2011].

[8] The f-ratio [Eppley and Peterson, 1979] is defined as the ratio of new primary production (i.e. production that is supported by newly available nutrients in the euphotic zone) to total primary production (a sum of new and regenerated production). We calculated this quantity from a matchup of NP and PP data on a monthly scale with horizontal resolution in latitude and longitude of 1° x 1°: f-ratio = NP*6.6 / (PP/12)*1000, where 6.6 represents the molar Redfield ratio, and the factor 1000/12 converts gC to mmol C.

[9] Seasonal cycles were determined for each of the data sets by first calculating the monthly mean values, e.g. the mean of all January months from 1998–2006. These years were selected to exclude small time gaps in the data sets in 2007–2009. The monthly mean anomalies were then smoothed with a second-order Butterworth filter, passed forward and backward to avoid phase shifting, using a three month cutoff period to reduce residual noise. Differences between this mean seasonal cycle and the individual monthly means from 1997–2010 were computed to obtain monthly anomalies.

[10] A compositing technique is used based on the physical definitions of EP-El Niño and CP-El Niño from previous studies [Yeh et al., 2009]. Within the time period presented here, Yeh et al. [2009] identified three CP-El Niño events (2002/03, 2004/05 and 2009/10) and two EP-El Niño events (1997/98 and 2006/07) based on detrended SST during the December-January-February (DJF) quarter. Neutral conditions refer to the DJF mean of the following years: 2000/01, 2001/02, 2003/04 and 2005/06. We calculate the winter (DJF) mean of SST, NP, Chl-a and PP over each of the three CP-El Niño events and the two EP-El Niño events as well as the neutral winters. Composites are created by averaging the DJF means to create a single DJF mean for CP-El Niño, EP-El Niño, and neutral conditions. As previous studies have shown that the CP-El Niño (El Niño Modoki) and canonical El Niño structures are also distinct in boreal summer [Ashok et al., 2007], we also analyzed boreal summer data represented by June-July-August (JJA) for neutral conditions (2000, 2001, 2003, and 2005) and CP-El Niño events (2002, 2004 and 2009). Data for Chl-a and PP data during JJA in 1997 are not available, therefore no composite for summer EP-El Niño events was made.

3. Results and Discussion

[11] Monthly anomaly time series of SST, NP, Chl-a, and PP along the equatorial band (140°W to 100°E, averaged 1°N to 1°S) for 1997–2010 are presented in Figure 1. All variables show significant interannual variability associated with El Niño/La Niña events, with the strongest El Niño events in 1997/98 and 2009/10. In agreement with previous studies [Barber and Chavez, 1991; Strutton and Chavez, 2000; Turk et al., 2001], during El Niño conditions NP, Chl-a, and PP increase in the western region (west of ~160°E) and decrease in the eastern region of the equatorial Pacific, consistent with changes in the nutrient supply associated with flattening of the thermocline. A significant decrease in Chl-a and PP is observed in the central Pacific during the CP-El Niño events coinciding with the maximum SST anomaly, while the lowest NP is shifted more toward the west. A similar decrease and shift is noted during the EP-El Niño event in 2006/07.

[12] Winter (DJF) composites during both types of El Niño events show that SST (Figure 2a) in the western region (140-160°E) is slightly lower (0.5°C) than in neutral conditions, while east of 160°E the SST is higher (1.5°C) than in neutral conditions. Consistent with previous studies, the maximum SST anomaly relative to neutral conditions (indicated in Figure 2a by the difference between the color and black curves) during CP-El Niño is observed in the central Pacific while the maximum anomaly during EP-El Niño is located in the eastern Pacific. None of the three CP-El Niño events
showed a distinct tripolar structure found by Ashok et al. [2007] with negative SST anomaly in the eastern Pacific, which is due to bounding our study domain at 100°W rather than the coast.

[13] Also consistent with previous studies [Turk et al., 2001], DJF new production rates (Figure 2b) in the western Pacific are higher than during neutral conditions and lower in the eastern Pacific for both types of El Niño events, primarily due to changes in nutrient supply associated with the changes in thermocline/nutricline depth. CP-El Niños show lower NP in the western and central region than EP-El Niños and slightly higher in the eastern Pacific (east of 135°W). Lower NP in the central Pacific implies a deeper nutricline/thermocline during CP-El Niño as described by Ashok and Yamagata [2009]. Behera and Yamagata [2010] observed higher sea levels in the central Pacific during CP-El Niños compared to the EP-El Niño, which is also consistent with our observations.

[14] Chl-a and PP show similar patterns to NP, with elevated values in the western Pacific during both El Niño types and lower values in the central Pacific compared to neutral conditions (Figures 2c and 2d). In the eastern Pacific, both Chl-a and PP are also lower during EP-El Niño. Our observations during El Niño events agree with Boyce et al. [2010] who report that, after de-trending and removing seasonal variation, yearly Chl-a anomalies were strongly negatively correlated with the El Niño index in the equatorial Pacific. During CP-El Niño, PP east of 160°W is comparable to neutral conditions, probably due to compensating effects of lower Chl-a (which implies a lower PP) and warmer waters (which implies a higher PP). The same compensation does not occur for EP El-Niños because Chl-a is further lowered by ~30% as compared to CP-El Niños. Note that there are some limitations of the PP modeling used herein, which may not sufficiently account for modulating the efficiency of use of photosynthetic energy [Behrenfeld, 2011]. The model’s so-called “photo-physiological” parameters are set to average values and the only parameter that changes as a function of the environment is $PB_{max}$, which is a function of temperature. Possible influences of nutrient concentration, species composition or the average light level in the mixed layer are not accounted for, which is a current limitation of satellite primary production models more generally [Taucher and Oschlies, 2011]. Phytoplankton populations during El Niño conditions might have a lower photosynthetic efficiency than those present during normal or La Niña conditions, which would reduce PP as compared to our calculations. Such uncertainties have been quantified and discussed elsewhere [Antoine and Morel, 1996; Friedrichs et al., 2009; Saba et al., 2011] and are not further discussed here because our focus is on the relative differences between CP and EP El-Niños.

[15] CP-El Niño events show lower Chl-a and PP in the western and central Pacific (west of 170°W) and higher values to the east, as well as a shift of the high zonal gradient eastward compared to EP-El Niño events. Surprisingly, Chl-a and PP levels around 160-175°E are lower during CP-El Niño events.

Figure 1. Monthly time/longitude sections of (a) SST (°C), (b) daily NP (mmolN m$^{-2}$), (c) SeaWiFS Chl-a (mgChl m$^{-3}$), and (d) daily PP (gC m$^{-2}$) along the equator (from 140°E to 100°W) for 1997 to 2010. All quantities have had a mean seasonal cycle removed and are anomalies relative to the record-length time mean at each longitude. Note that in order to illustrate smaller events in Chl-a as well as the extreme 1997 and 2010 events, the color map selected is artificially limited at 0.3 mg m$^{-3}$. The color of the year on the y-axis indicates whether the start of that year was during a CP-El Niño event (red), an EP-El Niño event (blue) or was during neutral conditions (green).
Niño than the mean neutral warm pool levels. As CP-El Niño NP in this area is higher than the mean neutral warm pool level, these low productivity levels cannot be fully described by decreased nutrient supply associated with lower thermocline/nutricline depth. The CEP is a region of relatively weak thermocline variability, and somewhat lower correlation between thermocline depth and new production [Turk et al., 2001], which may be due to higher noise-to-signal ratio near the pivot point of the east-west thermocline tilt in this area and the role of lateral advection. However, east of 175°E, horizontal advection also cannot explain the Chl-a and PP decrease because surface current anomalies are negative [Singh et al., 2011]. This suggests that currents are anomalously strong (still westward), which should increase Chl-a in this region (as Chl increases towards the east).

[16] The analysis of the boreal summer data for CP-El Niño events in 2002, 2004 and 2009 (not shown) indicates similar general patterns to boreal winter for all variables with a few small differences between the boreal winter and summer composites. The 2004 CP-El Niño event has a distinct tripolar structure with weak negative SST anomaly in the eastern Pacific as found by Ashok et al. [2007], while warmer than normal SST can be seen in the eastern Pacific during the 2009 CP-El Niño event. During the 2002 event, we observe positive anomalies in our study domain west of 100°W. However, during this event, our data also suggest negative anomaly between 95°W and 85°W and anomalously positive warming close to the coast (not shown). SST anomalies in the central Pacific are weaker during boreal summer. Lower NP is observed in the western Pacific and higher in the eastern Pacific. Chl-a and PP in the central Pacific during boreal summer were comparable to warm pool values, while during the winter they were significantly lower. During CP-El Niño events, the sharp zonal increase in Chl-a and PP moved westward from the summer to the winter, which is consistent with previous studies that reported negative current anomalies (westward currents) during CP-El Niño [Singh et al., 2011].

[17] Our composites include three CP and two EP-El Niño events. There are, however, some notable differences between the individual events, and it is legitimate to question whether our composites are truly representative of the two different types of El Niño events. To test the sensitivity of the results to the fact that some events are stronger than others and may dominate the composites (e.g., 1997–98 for the EP composite and 2009–10 for the CP composite), we tested normalizing the events prior to creating the composites by dividing each event by the maximum anomaly in each quantity as a function of longitude. The “normalized” version of the SST, NP, Chl-a, and PP composite spatial patterns are very similar to those shown on Figure 2, which demonstrates that the observed spatial patterns are robust and are not controlled solely by the two large events.

[18] Integrated values of NP and PP over the entire study domain (1°N to 1°S, 140°W to 100°E) for all El Niños are lower compared to neutral conditions. The magnitude of this decrease seems to depend more on the intensity than on the type of event, with the lowest values during the strongest events, irrespective of the type of event (EP-El Niño 1997/98 and CP-El Niño 2009/10).

[19] The range of r-ratio values observed was 0.02–0.37 (with a mean of 0.15 and a standard error of 0.07), in
agreement with shipboard observations in the tropical Pacific which found f-ratio values of 0.01–0.46 (with mean of 0.16 ± 0.08 SE, n=114) [Dugdale et al., 1992; McCarthy et al., 1996; Rodier and Le Borgne, 1997; Rainha et al., 1999; Aufdenkampe et al., 2001]. The observed east-west gradient in f-ratio with values increasing toward the east (Figure 3) is also consistent with previous shipboard observations [Aufdenkampe et al., 2001]. As f-ratio is estimated herein solely from two independent remotely sensed observations, this agreement is encouraging. Based on a comparison of composites during CP and EP-El Niño events (not shown), the satellite observations also suggest that f-ratio during CP-El Niño events may be lower that during EP-El Niño events, particularly in the 140°E-140°W region.

4. Conclusions

The results of this preliminary study indicate that CP-El Niños (sometimes called El Niño Modoki) cause a significantly different pattern of decreases in Chl-a, NP and PP in the central equatorial Pacific in comparison to classical EP-El Niños. During CP-El Niños, NP, Chl-a and PP in the central basin are lower than those observed during EP-El Niños and the zonal increase in Chl-a and PP in the central basin is shifted eastward. Lower values of Chl-a and PP coincide spatially with higher SST in the central Pacific. In boreal winter, they reach values below the mean neutral warm pool values, while NP stays above the warm pool values. Although the deeper thermocline/nutricline in the central Pacific during CP-El Niños contributes to decreases in Chl-a and PP, neither a change of the nutricline depth nor westward horizontal advection can fully explain such low values. While surface Chl-a, and integrated NP and PP over the entire equatorial band decrease during both CP and EP-El Niños, the magnitude of this decrease seems to depend more on the intensity than type of event. The influence of CP-El Niño events on physical climate can be detected in the tropical Pacific as well as in the extra-tropics through atmospheric teleconnections; CP-El Niños may have biological consequences over a wider area as well. If CP-El Niños occur more frequently as suggested under projected global warming scenarios [Yeh et al., 2009], then the central Pacific may experience lower biological productivity, which may be partly offset by an increase in the eastern basin. Our observations are consistent with the hypothesis that increasing ocean warming is contributing to a restructuring of marine ecosystems [Boyce et al., 2010] with implications for biogeochemical cycling and ecosystem dynamics. Further improvements of the NP and PP estimation techniques and an enhancement of the ocean observing system to include biological sensor data (especially from fluorometers, radiometers, pCO2 and nutrient sensors) assimilated into advanced biogeochemical models will be required to confirm these results.
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