Understanding the Dynamics of the Oxic-Anoxic Interface in the Black Sea
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Abstract The Black Sea, the largest semienclosed anoxic basin on Earth, can be considered as an excellent natural laboratory for oxic and anoxic biogeochemical processes. The suboxic zone, a thin interface between oxic and anoxic waters, still remains poorly understood because it has been undersampled. This has led to alternative concepts regarding the underlying processes that create it. Existing hypotheses suggest that the interface originates either by isopycnal intrusions that introduce oxygen or the dynamics of manganese redox cycling that are associated with the sinking of particles or chemoautotrophic bacteria. Here we reexamine these concepts using high-resolution oxygen, sulfide, nitrate, and particle concentration profiles obtained with sensors deployed on profiling floats. Our results show an extremely stable structure in density space over the entire basin with the exception of areas near the Bosporus plume and in the southern areas dominated by coastal anticyclones. The absence of large-scale horizontal intrusive signatures in the open-sea supports a hypothesis prioritizing the role of biogeochemical processes.

1. Introduction

The Black Sea is characterized by sulfidic deep waters. This anoxic zone is separated from the oxic upper waters by a suboxic zone, where the concentrations of both oxygen and free sulfide are below reliable method detection limits. Murray et al. (1989) first identified this suboxic layer, and it has been the subject of extensive investigation ever since (references follow). Oxygen and sulfide are the dominant redox species above and below the suboxic layer. However, the downward flux of oxygen does not appear to be sufficient to oxidize the upward flux of sulfide (Brewer & Murray, 1973). The existence of the suboxic zone requires that alternative redox processes control this system, particularly the upward flux of highly toxic sulfide. Here we report data collected over 2 years using a robotic observing system based on profiling floats equipped with chemical sensors for oxygen, sulfide, nitrate, and biomass to study this system. This builds on earlier work in the Black Sea using profiling floats with oxygen and bio-optical sensors (Stanev et al., 2013, 2017), which have become the dominant source of data for this region (Capet et al., 2016).

The suboxic zone has been delimited by oxygen concentration limits ranging from 3 μM to 20 μM (Glazer et al., 2006; Murray et al., 1989, 1995; Konovalov & Murray, 2001; Lam et al., 2007; Trouwborst et al., 2006). This zone occurs at different depths in the Black Sea, but everywhere occurs in a narrow density interval, approximately between the isopycnals of σθ 15.20 and 16.40 (Codispoti et al., 1991; Lewis & Landing, 1991; Tugrul et al., 1992; Vinogradov & Nalbandov, 1990).

Several hypotheses have been proposed to explain the origin of the suboxic zone and its role in suppressing the upward sulfide flux (Konovalov et al., 2003, 2006; Murray & Yakushev, 2006; Trouwborst et al., 2006). One class of hypotheses focuses on the role of biogeochemistry (H-BGC), while the second is based on lateral transport by ocean physics of suboxic water masses formed near the Bosporus (H-PHYS). The biogeochemical mechanisms may include either chemosynthesis (Jørgensen et al., 1991; Murray et al., 1995) or a redox shuttle based on manganese oxidation/reduction that is coupled with sulfide/elemental sulfur/sulfate cycling (Luther III et al., 1991; Trouwborst et al., 2006). Rate measurements suggest that the phototropic chemosynthesis plays only a small role (Overmann & Manske, 2006), yet there are other nonphototrophic chemosynthesis pathways (Brewer & Murray, 1973). Yilmaz et al. (2006) measured dark uptake of 14C in the suboxic zone at two stations, and the integrated rates due to chemosynthesis were 30% and 90% of the total water
column biological production. Manganese reactions, which generate particulate manganese oxides, may also be significant (Yakushev et al., 2007). In this mechanism, manganese acts as a redox shuttle that consumes both sulfide and oxygen (Figure 1a). An upward flux of dissolved Mn(II,III) passes through the suboxic zone into the oxic layer where it is oxidized to particulate Mn(III,IV), which removes the remnants of oxygen not consumed by organic matter (Konovalov et al., 2005). The particulate Mn(III,IV) oxides then sink back through the suboxic zone to the anoxic layer where they serve as an oxidant for sulfide. The oxidized sulfide ends up as elemental sulfur (S°) (Konovalov et al., 2003). S° is reduced back to H2S by bacteria such as Shewanella (Perry et al., 1993). Mn (II,III) is regenerated, which completes the redox shuttle (Johnson, 2006; Luther III et al., 1991; Tebo, 1991; Trouwborst et al., 2006). Dissolved forms of iron can also contribute to oxidation (Dellwig et al., 2010; Pakhomova et al., 2009; Yemenicioglu et al., 2006), but the fluxes of iron are less important than for manganese (Brewer & Murray, 1973; Konovalov et al., 2006).

In the H-PHYS (Konovalov et al., 2003, 2005; Murray et al., 1995) model, the suboxic zone was envisioned as a rapidly, well-mixed box. The concentrations of O2 and H2S within the box were controlled by the balance

Figure 1. The dominant reactions around (a) the suboxic zone and (b) the trajectory of float WMO#6901866. The circles in Figure 1b identify the surfacing position. The white circles (B, G, and EB) show specific positions discussed in the text. The timing of observations is given approximately once per 3 months, respectively; the circle-symbol there is bigger. AC indicates the position of the anticyclone mentioned in text. The inset shows the position of studied Black Sea region.
between the sources and sinks at the upper, lower, and side boundaries. Oxygen had transport sources across the upper and side boundaries and a sink at the lower boundary where it was consumed during oxidation of sulfide. This model, with horizontal fluxes, was proposed because calculated vertical fluxes of reductants and oxidants across the upper and lower boundaries alone appeared insufficient to maintain the redox balance of the suboxic zone.

The relative importance of the mechanisms encapsulated by H-BGC and H-PHYS in the creation of the suboxic layer is not entirely clear. Konovalov et al. (2003) and Glazer et al. (2006) found strong evidence for lateral intrusions of water from the Bosporus within the suboxic region. They suggest that lateral transport is a dominant mechanism in the redox budget. A numerical model developed by Konovalov et al. (2006) quantified the role for lateral transport of oxygen as a mechanism to remove sulfide in the suboxic zone. Konovalov et al. (2005) suggested that two thirds of the sulfide was oxidized due to lateral inputs from the Bosporus. However, Yakushev et al. (2009, 2007) developed a vertical 1-D model that closely replicates the complex Black Sea vertical structure using measured redox reaction rates. This model had no lateral transport and suggested a limited role for H-PHYS, relative to H-BGC. We focus on the implications of the profiling float data for these two classes of hypotheses. Furthermore, we analyze the concentrations of particles, oxygen, and sulfide with the aim of better understanding the relationships between physical and biogeochemical processes in the Black Sea. Our major interest is on the specific structure and evolution of the suboxic zone as an interface between the oxic and anoxic waters.

2. Description of Observations

On 27 May 2015 a BGC-Argo profiling float, WMO#6901866, was deployed in the western Black Sea (Figure 1b). This NKE Provor-CTS4 float was equipped with a Seabird SBE 41 CTD, an Aanderra 4330 optode to measure dissolved oxygen, a Satlantic SUNA sensor for measurement of sulfide and nitrate (Johnson et al., 2013; Johnson & Coletti, 2002), and a WETLabs ECO Triplet Puck measuring particulate backscattering at 700 nm b_{pp}(700). The latter is a proxy for particle concentration between submicroscopic and ~10 μm size range (Stanev et al., 2017), which includes inorganic particles, heterotrophic bacteria, and coccoliths. However, b_{pp}(700) cannot allow identifying specific bacteria types or inorganic particles, so the in-depth biogeochemical interpretation of our observations would require careful analysis in the future. More details about observations, signal processing, and definitions are given in section S1 in the supporting information.

As of 15 October 2017 (last sampling date included here) the float had completed 176 profiles with maximum depths of 1,000 m. The float did one and a half loops in the western gyre (Figure 1b) following approximately the main cyclonic Rim Current. Between the Rim Current and the coast a number of coastal anticyclonic eddies occur (e.g., the anticyclone AC in Figure 1b), which play an essential role for Black Sea biogeochemistry and ventilation of its anoxic waters (Stanev et al., 2014). At the end of 2016 the float moved along the southern coast into the eastern basin. White color is used in Figure 1b to define specific positions along the floats’ trajectory discussed in text.

3. The Physical and Biogeochemical Properties

The profiling float data were measured continuously over more than 2 years, thus enabling a broad scale presentation of the interdependencies between different properties. The observations in depth and density coordinates are shown in Figure 2. The seawater flowing out of the Bosporus is a high temperature and salinity end-member. Another thermohaline end-member is the cold intermediate layer (CIL) between 50 and 150 m (Figures 2a and 2b). Water below the core of CIL is made by linear mixing between these two end-members (Murray, 1991). These end-members are variable in time, as seen by the dramatic cooling in 2016–2017 after two milder winters; thus, the T-S properties are not strictly linear.

A subsurface oxygen maximum at ~20–30 m, which has been observed with earlier BGC-Argo missions (Stanev et al., 2013), appears as a robust seasonal characteristic (Figure 2c). Large changes in the sulfide concentrations (Figure 2e) identify dynamically different areas observed by the float, in which vertical motion brings anoxic waters to shallower or deeper positions. This is proven by the presentation of temporal evolution in density coordinates where sulfide concentrations almost follow the isopycnals. Furthermore, the presentation in density coordinates reveals thermal intrusions affecting biogeochemical patterns. Below the core of the CIL, the thermal properties do not follow density surfaces (Figure 2b), which illustrates the
The role of diapycnal mixing. The deepest most temperature intrusions are in the vicinity of the Bosporus Strait (location “B”) and when the float enters the eastern basin (location “EB”). These times and locations are shown in Figures 1b and 2. The onset of the suboxic zone is approximately at the bottom of the CIL, which is at $\sigma_t \sim 15.4–15.6$ that is in the zone of mixing between two end-members. The extreme cooling in 2016–2017 is obviously the reason for the deepening of suboxic zone in 2017. Sulfide profiles shoal in the center of cyclonic gyre (timing is indicated as “G” in Figure 2e; the respective position is shown Figure 1b) illustrating their displacement due to upwelling. The uncorrelated changes of the two boundaries of suboxic zone (Figures 2d and 2f) (see also Konovalov & Murray, 2001) reflect the isopycnal/diapycnal character of processes at its bottom/top, respectively.

The vertical offsets between the oxic zone, defined here by oxygen concentrations more than 0.7 $\mu$M above background values in the anoxic zone, and the top of the anoxic zone defined by sulfide concentrations greater than 0.5 $\mu$M above values in the upper 50 m are shown in Figure 3. These concentrations correspond to a robust estimate of detection limits of the sensors. From this figure the densities and depths at which concentrations of oxygen reach 0.7 $\mu$M and sulfide reaches 0.5 $\mu$M above background are well revealed. The lowest oxygen concentrations observable were displaced above the top of the anoxic zone by a mean distance of 21 m (Figure 3, bottom). The concentrations of sulfide and oxygen never crossed; the suboxic zone was present in all of the 176 profiles. In extreme cases of strong mixing (e.g., locations B and EB, see Figure 1 for their position) suboxic zone was very thin (Figures 4b and 4c), and oxygen or sulfide lenses were detected (Figure 2). The offset in the lowest detectable
Nitrate concentrations from the top of the anoxic zone averaged 14 m (not shown), consistent with expectations that it is generally deeper than the oxic boundary (see Fuchsman et al., 2008, for more details about profiles of nitrogen species and related processes).

While the densities on which the oxic and anoxic boundaries lie are relatively more constant than the corresponding depths, there is a clear trend of the anoxic and oxic boundaries to lower densities during the summer of 2016 (Figure 3, top), when the float was in the center of the western basin (see location G in Figure 1b). The anoxic boundary density anomaly varies from 15.82 to 16.25 after smoothing the individual values in Figure 3 (top) with a 5-point running mean. The anoxic boundary in the eastern basin lies on a similar density surface as found when the float was following the Rim Current in the western basin. Variability in the isopycnal surface on which the anoxic boundary is found has been observed before (Glazer et al., 2006; Konovalov et al., 2003; Murray & Yakushev, 2006). The density anomaly values on which the 0.5 μM sulfide values were found spanned a range of 0.69 (0.43 after smoothing; Table 1). This range was larger than observed in prior studies (Table 1), which had many fewer profiles. The large range of conditions on which the anoxic boundary was observed in this study, relative to prior work, suggests that the float has sampled all of the conditions observed in the previous 30 years. We believe that our data represent the only high-resolution observations of systematic variability in the isopycncal of the upper anoxic boundary.

The top of the anoxic zone is characterized by a maximum in light scattering by particles (Figure 4). The core of this bbp(700) maximum near a density anomaly of 16.2 kg m$^{-3}$ follows the isopycnal variations with depth and corresponds closely to the 3 μM sulfide contour (Figure S1b). This particle maximum layer is decoupled from the surface maximum in light scattering at density anomalies less than 14.5 kg m$^{-3}$ by a well-defined minimum in bbp(700). The surface maximum in bbp(700) is produced by phytoplankton. Particle maxima

---

Figure 4. Vertical profiles in density coordinates shown as (a) scatterplots of bbp(700), all data, or individual profiles of temperature (blue), oxygen (green), sulfide (red), and bbp(700) (dark yellow) in locations (b) B, (c) G, and (d) EB, respectively.
Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Density anomaly at anoxic zone</th>
<th>Range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>This work</td>
<td>15.74–16.43 (15.82–16.25)</td>
<td>0.69 (0.43)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Konovalov et al., 2003)</td>
<td>16.20–16.38</td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Murray &amp; Yakushev, 2006)</td>
<td>15.97–16.23</td>
<td>0.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Glazer et al., 2006)</td>
<td>16.04–16.33</td>
<td>0.29</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note. Values in parentheses were smoothed with a 5-point running mean.

in suboxic and anoxic zones in the Arabian Sea (Naqvi et al., 1993) and in the Eastern Tropical South Pacific (Ulloa et al., 2012; Whitmire et al., 2009) were attributed to bacterial populations that were oxidizing organic carbon using nitrate as a terminal electron acceptor. Here it is likely that the particle maximum consists of chemoautotrophic bacterial populations that are oxidizing sulfide and the sinking MnOx particles. A relationship between the bbp(700) profile curvature and the intensity of the biogeochemical reactions (Stanev et al., 2014, give an interpretation of this relationship) also suggests that chemo-synthetic bacteria dominate the light scattering. Light scattering is greatest when the float reached location B (see Figure 1), 200 km NE of the Bosporus. However, elevated light scattering at the top of the anoxic zone is found over the entire transect (Figure S1).

4. H-BGC Versus H-PHYS

The present observations provide important constraints on the processes that create the suboxic zone. During August–September 2015 just after the float left the Bosporus region (Figure 1b), oxygen lenses in or below the suboxic zone were observed as small-scale anomalies in Figures 2d and 2f, suggesting interleaving of water layers (see also Figure 4b). However, this seems to be a local effect. When the float’s trajectory was in the open sea, traces of oxygen below the upper boundary of the suboxic zone, directly linked to the Bosporus inflow, were not observed. This conclusion is reinforced by the characteristic times corresponding to the major terms in the tracers’ equations. For characteristic scales of horizontal velocity at 200 m of 0.07 m/s (Korotaev et al., 2006), horizontal/vertical scales of $10^3$ m and $5 \times 10^1$ m, and coefficients of horizontal and vertical diffusion of $5 \times 10^2$ m$^2$/s and $2 \times 10^{-6}$ m$^2$/s (molecular diffusion; Gregg & Yakushev, 2005), characteristic times are $t_{adv} = 12$ days, $t_{hor \ diff} = 200$ days, and $t_{vert \ diff} = 10^4$ days. The half-time of oxidation of sulfide by oxygen is ~1 day (Millero, 1991); thus, it is questionable whether horizontal (isopycnal) diffusion can dominate the oxidation basin-wide. In regions away from the Bosporus the upward flux of sulfide must be oxidized by some other electron acceptor than oxygen.

Further, the trend for the upper boundary of the anoxic zone to migrate to lower densities within the center of the western basin (location G in Figure 1b), which is clear in the float data (Figure 3, top), has also been seen in earlier studies (Glazer et al., 2006; Konovalov et al., 2003; Murray & Yakushev, 2006). If the migration of the upper limit of the anoxic zone across isopycnals is a persistent feature, then this would also argue that the lateral injection mechanism of H-PHYS is primarily a local effect. Such injections combined with very low rates of vertical mixing would constrain the top of the anoxic zone to a constant isopycnal. Given the clear and, apparently persistent, migration of the anoxic boundary across isopycnals (Figures 4b–4d), our observations tend to support the modeling results of Yakushev et al. (2007), which reproduce observed chemical distributions without requiring lateral transport.

Support for H-BGC is found in the light scattering data. Unlike oxygen or sulfide, backscattering by particles (bbp(700)) provides a measurable signal within the suboxic zone. Gravitational settling would tend to separate particles from a given isopycnal. The consistent relationship, throughout both basins, between the deep backscattering maximum near a density anomaly of 16.2 and the 3 μM sulfide contour suggests that this is an active, biological feature. Consistent with observations in other suboxic regions (Naqvi et al., 1993; Ulloa et al., 2012; Whitmire et al., 2009), the redox boundary of Black Sea appears to support an observable biomass. Such a feature would be consistent with an active population of bacteria that derives its energy from the sharp, redox gradient.

5. Conclusions

The profiling float data provide an unprecedented view of the spatial and temporal variability in the redox structure of the Black Sea. The observations are similar to a suite of prior observations. The redox conditions observed in the 2 year period sampled by the floats are consistent with the entire variability observed over the past 30 years (Konovalov et al., 2005). This suggests that much of the previous variability that has been assigned to decadal-scale processes is present at all times in the Black Sea, but not well recognized due to undersampling. Furthermore, with its fine temporal sampling, present observations reveal a number of
variability patterns associated either with the regional oceanographic conditions (e.g., general circulation, coastal processes, and intrusions) or with the response of interannual change in atmospheric forcing (severe winter cooling). The latter appeared clearly during the extremely cold winter of 2017 when the anoxic waters were displaced to deeper density layers.

The structure of the chemical properties observed across the major redox gradients in the Black Sea appears to be most consistent with biogeochemical processes, such as chemosynthesis or Mn cycling, as the dominant control mechanisms. Finally, the data demonstrate the potential of profiling floats with chemical sensors to meet the objectives outlined by Capet et al. (2016) for a system that would observe temporal variability in the oxygen inventory and sulfide gradient of the Black Sea.
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