Divinyl chlorophyll a-specific absorption coefficients and absorption efficiency factors for *Prochlorococcus marinus*: kinetics of photoacclimation
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ABSTRACT: The photosynthetic prokaryote *Prochlorococcus* appears to have a high capacity to modify its physiological and optical properties in response to changes in available irradiance. In order to study the time scale of variations in light absorption induced by photoacclimation, 2 strains (MED, clone CCMP 1378, and SARG, unialgal strain) were grown in batch cultures at high (56.7 μmol quanta m⁻² s⁻¹) or low (8.4 μmol quanta m⁻² s⁻¹) irradiance. Then the temporal changes over 3 to 4 d in spectral absorption coefficients, pigment composition, cell number density and size distribution were followed for cultures transferred from high to low irradiance, and vice versa. Both strains experienced significant changes in their divinyl-chlorophyll a-specific absorption coefficients (αₐ) in response to the transfers. For the MED strain, photoacclimation appeared to be achieved within about 40 h (covering 2 cell generations) for the low to high irradiance transfer, while 3 to 4 d (corresponding to 1 doubling of the population) were necessary for the high to low irradiance transfer. For the SARG strain transferred from high to low irradiance, in spite of a rapid change in absorption during the first 25 h (i.e. within the same cell generation), full photoacclimation was not achieved after 3 d. The efficiency factors for absorption, G(λ), and the αₐ(λ) coefficients, were reconstructed from theory at the different photoacclimation stages from the cell characteristics, i.e. intracellular concentrations of the various pigments and cell size distribution. This permitted the determination of the parameters which are mainly responsible for the observed changes. The G(λ) values (and therefore the package effect) are enhanced at low irradiance by the effects resulting from photoacclimation: the increase of the intracellular concentration of divinyl-chlorophyll a, and (for the SARG strain only) the increase of the intracellular concentration of chlorophyll b. In addition, the presence of zeaxanthin, in stable amounts within the cells whatever the irradiance, enhances the G(λ) values for all light conditions, and thus 'moderates' its variations with irradiance. Contrary to what is commonly admitted because of its tiny size, the absorption efficiency of *Prochlorococcus* (per pigment unit) is not always maximal, but can be reduced by 20 to 25% at low irradiances, such as those prevailing in the lower part of the euphotic zone. This reduction directly affects the amount of absorbed energy usable for photosynthesis.
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INTRODUCTION

The photosynthetic prokaryote *Prochlorococcus* discovered some years ago in the Sargasso Sea (Chisholm et al. 1988), has been found to be widely distributed in oceanic waters, and to account for a significant part of the biomass as well as the primary production, particularly in oligotrophic and mesotrophic ecosystems (e.g. Goericke & Weischmeyer 1993, Campbell et al. 1994; see also review by Partensky et al. 1999). *Prochlorococcus* is observed over a wide irradiance range, approximately 1 to 1500 μmol quanta m⁻² s⁻¹ (Parten-
sky et al. 1996). This ubiquity can be partly explained by the coexistence of several distinct populations within the water column (Campbell & Vaulot 1993). In particular, the MED and SARG strains, isolated from Mediterranean surface waters and from the deep chlorophyll maximum of the Sargasso Sea, respectively, appear to be phylogenetically distant (Palemik & Haselkorn 1992) and differ in their pigmentation and optical and photosynthetic properties (Partensky et al. 1993, Moore et al. 1995). These studies, however, also suggest that each of these strains exhibits a high capacity for photoacclimation, i.e. for reversible physiological changes occurring in response to light variations. Drastic changes in the intracellular divinylchlorophyll a (DV-chl a) and accessory pigment contents were observed when cultures were submitted to various irradiances, and resulted in modifications in the spectral chl-specific (i.e. normalized to the DV-chl a concentration) absorption coefficients, a*(λ) (Partensky et al. 1993, Moore et al. 1995). In the field, similar changes were also recorded, such as those resulting from the decrease in irradiance with increasing depth (Veldhuis & Kraay 1990, Partensky et al. 1996). What has not yet been documented, however, is the time scale of such photoadapative changes. Knowledge of these time scales is of ecological significance and could contribute, as suggested by Babin et al. (unpubl.), to an explanation of the dominance of Prochlorococcus in oligotrophic waters.

The absorption coefficient is directly related to the dimensionless efficiency factor for absorption (Qa), i.e. the ratio of the energy absorbed within a mean cell (representative of the population) to the energy incident on its geometrical section. These efficiency factors can be reconstructed from the cell characteristics, namely the intracellular concentrations of the various pigments and the cell size distribution, using the anomalous diffraction approximation (van de Hulst 1957), and then converted into a*(λ) coefficients. Note that such an approach is different from the reconstruction technique described by Bidigare et al. (1987), and afterwards used in various studies (Smith et al. 1989, Bidigare et al. 1990, Moore et al. 1995). Contrary to the Bidigare et al. technique, based solely on the pigment composition and the unpackaged absorption coefficients of individual pigments, the reconstruction proposed in this study, via the Qa factors, accounts for the package effect, if any.

Such reconstruction allows us to determine whether the observed absorption properties are fully consistent with, and predictable from, cell characteristics. It is also aimed at determining the respective contributions of the factors responsible for changes in the absorption properties of Prochlorococcus. Two factors are of particular importance with respect to photosynthetic performance. On the one hand, the presence of zeaxanthin, the dominant accessory pigment in Prochlorococcus, increases the amount of absorbed energy without increasing carbon fixation, because the absorbed energy is not transferred to the photosystems (e.g. Demmig-Adams 1990). On the other hand, if the absorption efficiency of the minute Prochlorococcus cells (0.6 to 0.7 μm) is maximal owing to an insignificant package effect, this should result in optimized photosynthetic capacities. A minimal package effect, however, requires not only small cell size but also weak intracellular pigment concentrations (Morel & Bricaud 1981). Moore et al. (1995) concluded, from a comparison between experimental and reconstructed (unpackaged) a* spectra for MED and SARG cultures, that the package effect was negligible for the irradiance range considered. This assumption is also frequently made for the natural populations of oligotrophic waters (e.g. Lindley et al. 1995). A recent study in the Equatorial Pacific, however, suggests that this is not always the case, particularly at the low irradiances prevailing at the bottom of the euphotic zone (Allali et al. 1997).

The aims of this paper are therefore (1) to describe the kinetics of variations in a*(λ) for Prochlorococcus cultures acclimated to a given irradiance and then transferred to a different irradiance; (2) to reconstruct the a*(λ) spectra from the cell characteristics, and to determine how the various parameters contribute to the temporal variations in a*(λ); and (3) to evaluate the importance of the package effect at the different photoacclimation stages. Experiments were conducted as part of a workshop aimed at studying the effects of photoacclimation on physiological, optical and photosynthetic parameters of Prochlorococcus. Both the MED and SARG strains were studied, so as to detect possible deviations in behavior with respect to the above issues. A list of notation is provided (Table 1).

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Strains and cultures.** Two Prochlorococcus marinus isolates, MED (clone CCMP 1378) and SARG (a unicellular strain), were used in this study. Details of their origin, isolation methods and culture media are given in Partensky et al. (1993). Batch cultures were grown at 21 ± 1°C in a modified K/10 medium (Keller et al. 1987), under continuous blue light provided by daylight fluorescent tubes (Silvania) with blue plastic filters (LEE no. 183). Cultures were not axenic, and the number of heterotrophic bacteria varied between 9 and 38% of the total cell number for the experiments presented here. The experiments, identified respectively as H→L and L→H, consisted of transferring high-light (56.7 μmol
Table 1. Definition of mathematical symbols and associated units

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Definition</th>
<th>Unit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>λ</td>
<td>Wavelength</td>
<td>nm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OD(λ)</td>
<td>Optical density</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>r</td>
<td>Optical pathlength</td>
<td>m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N/V</td>
<td>Cell number density</td>
<td>m⁻³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>μ</td>
<td>Specific growth rate</td>
<td>d⁻¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a(λ)</td>
<td>Absorption coefficient</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a* (λ)</td>
<td>Divinyl-chl a-specific absorption coefficient</td>
<td>m² mg⁻¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[DV-chl a]</td>
<td>Divinyl-chl a concentration</td>
<td>mg m⁻³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>Cell diameter</td>
<td>μm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f(D)</td>
<td>Size distribution function</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dₚ</td>
<td>Mean diameter of the population (computed with respect to the volume)</td>
<td>μm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cₚ</td>
<td>Intracellular concentration of the pigment p</td>
<td>μg mg⁻³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cₚ</td>
<td>Concentration of the pigment p in the suspension</td>
<td>mg m⁻³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>aₚm(λ)</td>
<td>Absorption coefficient of the cell material</td>
<td>m⁻¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ρ'</td>
<td>Optical thickness of a spherical cell corresponding to absorption along a diameter</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a*ₚₚₚ(λ)</td>
<td>Weight-specific absorption coefficient of the pigment p</td>
<td>m² mg⁻¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ωₚ(λ)</td>
<td>Unpackaged absorption coefficient of the cell material</td>
<td>m² mg⁻¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>qₚ(λ)</td>
<td>Efficiency factor for absorption</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Qₚ(λ)</td>
<td>Efficiency factor for absorption, averaged for the polydispersed population</td>
<td>m² mg⁻¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Qₚₚ(λ)</td>
<td>Reconstructed efficiency factor for absorption (averaged)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Qₑ(λ)</td>
<td>Reconstructed absorption coefficient</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a*ₑₑₑ(λ)</td>
<td>Reconstructed DV-chl a-specific absorption coefficient</td>
<td>m² mg⁻¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Qₑₚ(λ)</td>
<td>Package effect index [a*(λ)/a*ₑₑₑ(λ)]</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Quanta m⁻² s⁻¹-acclimated cultures to low irradiance (8.4 μmol quanta m⁻² s⁻¹), and vice versa. Resulting changes in optical parameters were followed during 3 to 4 d. The control cultures, simultaneously maintained at high and low irradiance, are identified as HH and LL. Most of the measurements were made in exponential phase of cell growth (see Fig. 3). Some, however, were discarded because nutrient limitation began to occur (late phases of the MED HH and MED L→H experiments) or because dead cells appeared in a significant amount (SARG LL and L→H experiments). Replicate cultures were grown for each experiment, and cell countings of these cultures showed that the cell growth rate was reproducible. Because of volume limitations, optical measurements could not be performed on these replicate cultures. However, the optical variability is believed to be weak between cultures with similar cell growth rates.

Absorption coefficients. Optical densities of Prochlorococcus suspensions were determined with a double-beam spectrophotometer (Perkin-Elmer Lambda 19) equipped with an integrating sphere, in reference to the filtrate of the suspension (0.22 μm Millipore Millex-GS filter). Before measurement, samples were concentrated by centrifugation (10 min at 5000 rpm [2000 × g]) to obtain sufficiently high optical densities. When absorption was also measurable without concentration, it was possible to check that centrifugation does not induce a modification of the spectral shape. Absorption coefficients, a(λ) (m⁻¹), were obtained as

\[ a(λ) = 2.3 \frac{OD(λ)}{r} \]  

(1)

where \( r \approx 0.01 \) m is the pathlength of the cuvette. For all samples, the apparent absorption observed between 790 and 800 nm (originating from the artifactual contribution of backscattering, which is significant for very small cells) was subtracted from the spectral absorption coefficients. No correction was made for absorption by heterotrophic bacteria, which is typically less than 10% of the total absorption at 410 nm (5% at 445 nm) for bacterial numbers up to 50% of the total cell number, and becomes insignificant at longer wavelengths (Morel et al. 1993). The chl-specific absorption coefficients, \( a^*(λ) \), expressed in m² mg⁻¹ DV-chl a, were obtained from the absorption coefficients, \( a(λ) \), according to

\[ a^*(λ) = \frac{a(λ)}{[DV-chl a]} \]  

(2)

where [DV-chl a] is the DV-chl a concentration (in mg m⁻³), determined before concentration, and then multiplied by the ratio of cell numbers before and after concentration. These \( a^*(λ) \) spectra were found to be affected by some erratic variations (approximately ±20%) resulting from the concentration procedure, which obscured the actual trends of \( a^*(λ) \) with time. These variations were minimized by setting the height of the red absorption band [as measured above a baseline joining \( a^*(660) \) to \( a^*(700) \), to correct for the enhancement due to the presence of chl b and/or DV-chl b] to the height of the absorption band of DV-chl a.
in solution (0.0173 m² mg⁻¹ DV-chl a; see Fig. 1). Such a normalization presumes that the package effect is insignificant at the red wavelengths, an assumption which will be justified a posteriori.

Cell number densities and size distributions. Cell number densities, N/V, and bacteria concentrations were determined using a Coulter EPICS V flow cytometer equipped with a 5 W Argon laser, as described in Cailliau et al. (1996). Samples concentrated by centrifugation were fixed with paraformaldehyde (0.5%), frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at −80°C (Vaulot et al. 1989) for later analysis. Cell loss resulting from preservation (approximately 7% of cells) was empirically corrected for by comparing cell counts on 252 samples before and after fixation. Cell number densities varied between 3.8 × 10⁶ and 72 × 10⁶ cell ml⁻¹ before centrifugation, and were about 5-fold higher after centrifugation.

Cell size distribution functions, F(D) (where D is the diameter of the volume-equivalent sphere), were determined using a Coulter Multisizer II (256 channels) equipped with a 15 μm orifice. Before each measurement, filtered seawater was circulated for 3 to 5 min inside a closed circuit containing a 0.2 μm filter. This maintained the remnant particle number inside the counting window below 3% of the minimum particle number to be counted. The influence of noise in the first channels was minimized by adjusting F(D) to a log-normal law by least-square fit. The presence of heterotrophic bacteria in cultures was assumed to affect only the number densities, and not the shape of F(D). This approximation, similar to that made by Morel et al. (1993), is believed to be justified as all observed size distributions were unimodal. Therefore, F(D) was considered only in relative values, and scaled by setting its integral to N/V, as measured by flow cytometry.

Pigment concentration measurements. Pigments were extracted and analyzed using a Waters Associated HPLC instrument with a photodiode array detector (Model 991), according to Veldhuis & Kraay (1990) and Kraay et al. (1992). Identified pigments were DV-chl a, DV-chl b + chl b, chl a, zeaxanthin, α-carotene, cryptoxanthin and an unknown carotenoid, the latter 2 being present only as traces. Monovinyl (MV)- and DV-Chlorophylls a and b were separated by applying the silica-based normal phase analysis (Veldhuis & Kraay 1990). MV-chl a was always absent in both strains, while MV-chl b was present in the SARG strain only, as already observed in previous studies (Partensky et al. 1993, Moore et al. 1995). The DV-chl a and DV-chl b + chl b concentrations were multiplied by 1.06 and 1.09, respectively, to correct for fluorescence emitted by these pigments and detected by the diode array system. This empirical correction is based on the study of Latasa et al. (1996), who observed that in such systems, fluorescence emission artfactually decreases chl a and chl b concentrations by, on average, 6 and 9%, respectively.

Reconstruction of absorption efficiency factors and coefficients from cell characteristics. For a population polydispersed in size, the mean efficiency factors for absorption, \( \Omega_{\text{abs}}(\lambda) \), are related to the absorption coefficients a(\lambda) via the relationship:

\[
a(\lambda) = (u/4) \int_0^{\infty} F(D) D^2 dD
\]

(3)

Under the assumption that cells are spherical and homogeneous with respect to the refractive index, the \( \Omega_{\text{abs}}(\lambda) \) values can be 'reconstructed' from the cell characteristics using the anomalous diffraction approximation (van de Hulst 1957). For a given diameter D and a given wavelength, Q_{\text{abs}} is obtained from D and the absorption coefficient of the cell material, a_{\text{abs}}(\lambda), using the equation:

\[
Q_{\text{abs}}(\rho') = 1 + 2\exp(-\rho')/\rho' + 2[\exp(-\rho') - 1]/(\rho'^2)
\]

(4)

where \( \rho' = a_{\text{abs}}D \). The mean factor, denoted \( \Omega_{\text{rec}}(\lambda) \), is then obtained by averaging over F(D) (see e.g. Morel & Bricaud 1986). The \( a_{\text{abs}}(\lambda) \) coefficients were here computed from the intracellular concentrations of the various pigments, c_p, and their specific absorption coefficients, \( a^*_{\text{sol},p}(\lambda) \), according to:

\[
a_{\text{abs}}(\lambda) = \sum c_p a^*_{\text{sol},p}(\lambda)
\]

(5)

where \( c_p \) is the ratio of the concentration of this pigment within the suspension, \( C_p \), to the volume concentration of suspended cells:

\[
c_p = C_p ([\pi/6] \int_0^{\infty} F(D) D^3 dD)^{-1}
\]

(6)

The \( a^*_{\text{sol},p}(\lambda) \) spectra were estimated by scaling the absorption spectra of individual pigments measured (in relative values) by HPLC (H. Claustre pers. comm.) to the weight-specific absorption coefficients proposed by Goericke & Repeta (1993), and then shifting the positions of maxima in solvents to match the in vivo positions, as in Bidigare et al. (1990). These spectra (Fig. 1) compare well with those obtained using a similar procedure by Moore et al. (1995). A summary of the procedure used to reconstruct the \( \Omega_{\text{abs}}(\lambda) \) spectra, and then the \( a^*(\lambda) \) spectra, is shown in Fig. 2.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Effects of light transfers on DV-chl a-specific absorption coefficients

MED strain

The \( a^*(\lambda) \) spectra of the MED strain all exhibited the absorption bands of DV-chl a around 445 and 672 nm,
Fig. 1. In vivo weight-specific absorption coefficients for the main pigments of Prochlorococcus marinus, obtained by scaling HPLC-generated absorption spectra (courtesy of H. Claustre) to weight-specific absorption coefficients at given wavelengths, and then shifting absorption bands to their in vivo positions (see ‘Materials and methods’). The chl c-like pigment was not considered, as its concentration was <3% of the divinyl-chl a concentration for all experiments.

Fig. 2. Flow-chart of the computations for reconstructing the efficiency factors for absorption \( Q_{\text{aux}}(\lambda) \) and the DV-chl a-specific absorption coefficients \( a^*_{\text{rec}}(\lambda) \) from the concentrations of individual pigments, their absorption spectra, and the cell size distribution. The definitions of symbols are given in Table 1.

a band around 490 nm (due to zeaxanthin and, to a much lesser extent, \( \alpha \)-carotene) and an almost zero absorption between 530 and 590 nm (Fig. 3a,c). Because the DV-chl b content was low (see Fig. 6b,d), its absorption bands around 480 and 650 to 655 nm were virtually absent.

For the MED L→H experiment, the \( a^*(\lambda) \) values in the blue part of the spectrum increased continuously with time, and the absorption band of zeaxanthin became progressively more marked around 490 nm (Fig. 3a). The coefficient \( a^*(445) \) increased by 33% within 35 h and then stabilized around 0.064 m² mg⁻¹ (Fig. 3b), a value close to that observed for the HH culture (Fig. 3d). This stabilization suggests that the MED strain becomes acclimated to high irradiance conditions within a rather short period (<2 d). The increase in \( a^*(445) \) was parallel to an increase in the zeaxanthin/DV-chl a ratio by a factor of 2 (0.35 to 0.67), while other pigment ratios remained lower than 0.12, and relatively stable over the duration of the experiment (Table 2). Note that the \( a^*(445) \) value at the beginning of the experiment (0.048 m² mg⁻¹) was close to that observed for the same strain at a similar irradiance by Morel et al. (1993) (0.052 m² mg⁻¹ at 7 µmol quanta m⁻² s⁻¹, under blue light).

For the MED H→L experiment (Fig. 3c), the modification of the \( a^*(\lambda) \) spectra was, as expected, opposite to that observed during the L→H transfer. The blue part of the spectrum showed a continuous decrease in amplitude with time, coinciding with a progressive decline (from 0.59 to 0.33) of the zeaxanthin/DV-chl a ratio. Other pigment ratios did not exceed 0.10 (Table 2). The coefficient \( a^*(445) \) decreased abruptly (by approximately 10%) between 6 and 10 h after the transfer, and then more slowly towards a final value of 0.048 m² mg⁻¹ (Fig. 3d). This value, close to that observed for the LL control culture (Fig. 3b), suggests that photoacclimation was completed over the 4 d period.

In order to determine whether photoacclimation actually affects the original population or the following generations, the change in cell number densities, \( N/V \), during the light transfer was analyzed (Fig. 3b,d). For the L→H transfer, the specific growth rate \( \mu \) (computed for the time interval \( (t_2 - t_1) \) as the ratio \( \ln(N/V)_{t_2} - \ln(N/V)_{t_1}/(t_2 - t_1) \)) remained rather low (0.17 d⁻¹) during the first 6 h and then over the period 6 to 49 h increased to 0.80 d⁻¹, a value close to that observed for the HH control culture (0.72 d⁻¹). Such growth rates correspond to 1 doubling per 21 h, and are close to the maximum growth rates observed for natural popula-
Fig. 3. *Prochlorococcus marinus*. Left panels: spectral values of DV-chl \( a^* \) specific absorption coefficients as a function of time, for the 3 experiments — (a) MED strain transferred from low to high irradiance (MED L→H), (c) MED strain transferred from high to low irradiance (MED H→L), (e) SARG strain transferred from high to low irradiance (SARG H→L). Right panels: variations of the DV-chl \( a^* \) specific absorption coefficient at the blue maximum, \( a^*(445) \), and of the natural logarithm of the cell number density \( \ln(N/V) \), as functions of time, for the same experiments. Dashed lines: variations corresponding to the control cultures (LL, HH) (NL: nutrient limitation).

In the Equatorial Pacific by Vaulot et al. (1995). Therefore the main change in \( a^*(\lambda) \) occurs within about 2 generations (40 h).

For the H→L transfer experiment, \( \mu \) remained (somewhat surprisingly) at the same level as in the HH control experiment (0.66 vs 0.72 d\(^{-1}\)) for the first 10 h following the transfer. Then it declined (0.11 d\(^{-1}\)), so that over the 4 d period \( \mu \) was on average equal to 0.16 d\(^{-1}\), leading to only 1 doubling over the whole period. In this case, full photoacclimation seems therefore to have been achieved within the same cell generation.
SARG strain

For the SARG culture transferred from high to low irradiance (H→L), the amplitude of a*(λ) spectra progressively decreased, while the chl b bands around 480 and 650 nm became more marked (Fig. 3e). The a*(λ) values were higher than for the MED strain, by approximately 20% at the blue maximum, consistent with the observations of Moore et al. (1995). The main differences with respect to the MED strain submitted to the same light transfer were (1) a 2- to 4-fold higher chl b/DV-chl a ratio over the whole period (likely due to the presence of MV-chl b), and (2) a slightly higher zeaxanthin/DV-chl a ratio (Table 2). These differences could account for the higher a*(λ) values for the SARG strain.

The a*(445) coefficient diminished by 13% over the whole period (instead of 20% for the MED H→L experiment), with the strongest change over the first 25 h (Fig. 3f), and was accompanied by a 48% decrease in the zeaxanthin/DV-chl a ratio (Table 2). Conversely, the chl b/DV-chl a ratio increased from 0.21 to 0.48, while chl c and α-carotene remained at low concentrations. Note that the final a*(445) value is rather close to that (0.064 m² g⁻¹) measured by Morel et al. (1993) for a similar irradiance.

Table 2. *Prochlorococcus marinus.* Values of the divinyl-chl a concentration in the cultures ([DV-chl a]), intracellular DV-chl a concentration (cL,DV-chl a), mean diameter (D₃), pigmentation ratios (zea: zeaxanthin; α-car: α-carotene), cell number density (N/V), average specific growth rate (μ), DV-chl a-specific absorption coefficient at the blue maximum [a*(445)], computed efficiency factor for absorption [Qₑ rec(445)] and package effect index at the same wavelength [Qₑ* rec(445)].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Expt</th>
<th>[DV-chl a] (mg m⁻³)</th>
<th>cL,DV-chl a (kg m⁻³)</th>
<th>D₃ (µm)</th>
<th>zeα/ chl b</th>
<th>α-car/ chl c</th>
<th>N/V (10⁹)</th>
<th>μ (d⁻¹)</th>
<th>a*(445) (m² g⁻¹)</th>
<th>Qₑ rec(445)</th>
<th>Qₑ* rec(445)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MED LL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Min</td>
<td>19.5</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>0.045</td>
<td>0.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max</td>
<td>87.0</td>
<td>16.9</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>2.61</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.049</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MED HH</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Min</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>1.32</td>
<td>0.057</td>
<td>0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max</td>
<td>57.4</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>6.75</td>
<td>0.062</td>
<td>0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MED L→H</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.1 h</td>
<td>27.9</td>
<td>14.0</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>0.048</td>
<td>0.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>73.2 h</td>
<td>57.3</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>5.93</td>
<td>0.064</td>
<td>0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MED H→L</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0 h</td>
<td>19.2</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>1.57</td>
<td>0.058</td>
<td>0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>97.3 h</td>
<td>79.0</td>
<td>10.3</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>3.05</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.048</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SARG HH</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Min</td>
<td>14.5</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>1.93</td>
<td>0.068</td>
<td>0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max</td>
<td>56.5</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>5.64</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.073</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SARG H→L</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0 h</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>2.07</td>
<td>0.070</td>
<td>0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71.2 h</td>
<td>61.8</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>3.73</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.061</td>
<td>0.26</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As shown by the change in cell number density (Fig. 3f), the population remained in active growth (μ = 1 d⁻¹) only during the first 6 h after transfer, then μ decreased to 0.15 d⁻¹. Over the 3 d period, μ was on average 0.20 d⁻¹, which is almost half that of the HH control culture (0.34 d⁻¹), and corresponds to 1 doubling per 83 h. As for the MED H→L experiment, the most important change in a*(λ) occurs within the first 25 h, i.e. within the same cell generation. In absence of reliable optical measurements for the LL culture, it is difficult to know whether or not acclimation was fully completed within the 3 d period. The strong variations observed for the intracellular chl b concentration at the end of the experiment (see Fig. 6), however, suggest that the SARG strain was not fully acclimated after 73 h.

Reconstructed efficiency factors for absorption and absorption coefficients

Examples of reconstructed a*(λ) spectra, as compared to experimental spectra, are displayed in Fig. 4. The shape of experimental spectra is fairly well reproduced by theory, and absolute values are also in good agreement between 500 and 700 nm; note in particular the excellent correspondence for the red band (which
Fig. 4. Prochlorococcus marinus. Examples of experimental and reconstructed spectra of the DV-chl a-specific absorption coefficients, $a^*(\lambda)$, for the 2 extreme values (1.1 and 97.3 h) and 1 intermediate value (36 h) of the time scale during the MED $H \rightarrow L$ transfer.

justifies the assumption made when normalizing absorption spectra; see 'Materials and methods'. Around the blue absorption maximum, the reconstructed values are generally below the experimental values. In spite of this difference, the temporal trends in $a^*(445)$ are well reproduced for the various experiments (Fig. 5). When all experiments and all wavelengths are pooled together (not shown), experimental and reconstructed $a^*(\lambda)$ values are well correlated ($r^2 = 0.96$, $N = 9632$ for MED; $r^2 = 0.95$, $N = 4515$ for SARG), and the slopes of the regression lines are 0.90 and 0.89, respectively, meaning that the reconstructed values are lower than experimental values by on average 10%.

These differences may originate from various sources: (1) experimental errors in the input parameters used for the theoretical computations (see Fig. 2); (2) deviations from the assumptions (cell sphericity and homogeneity) introduced in these computations; and (3) experimental errors affecting absorption measurements. More specifically, the fact that the underestimation of $a^*(\lambda)$ values is essentially confined within the blue domain suggests that it might originate either from an underestimate of carotenoid concentrations, or from an underestimate of some $a^*_{\text{sol}}(\lambda)$ coefficients in the blue domain. Some uncertainty remains in particular about the $a^*_{\text{sol}}(\lambda)$ coefficients of DV-chl a, which vary according to the solvent and the instrumental system (H. Claustres pers. comm.). Considering these various uncertainties, the agreement (within 10%) between experimental and reconstructed $a^*(\lambda)$ values can be considered to be satisfactory. This allows us to examine how the various parameters contribute to the $a^*(\lambda)$, or equivalently $Q_6(\lambda)$, variations with time.

The changes in $Q_6(\lambda)$ with time, as obtained from the reconstruction, are shown in Fig. 6 for the wavelength of the blue maximum. Values of $Q_6(445)$ ranged from 0.33 to 0.17 for the MED $L \rightarrow H$ experiment, and from 0.15 to 0.30 for the MED $H \rightarrow L$ experiment. For the SARG $H \rightarrow L$ experiment, $Q_6(445)$ spanned a similar range of values (0.16 to 0.26). Note that the values corresponding to low-light conditions are consistent with those determined (for an irradiance of 7 μmol quanta m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$) by Morel et al. (1993) (0.23 to 0.37 for MED, 0.21 to 0.25 for SARG). These relatively high values indicate a non-negligible package effect, as will be shown later.

The variations of the parameters responsible for the $Q_6(\lambda)$ variations are shown in Fig. 6b,d.f. For the MED strain, the main source of variation for $Q_6(\lambda)$ appears to be the intracellular concentration of DV-chl a, which decreased 3-fold during the $L \rightarrow H$ transfer, and
increased 2-fold during the inverse transfer. The intracellular concentration of zeaxanthin was remarkably constant and insensitive to the light transfers, so that the variations of the zeaxanthin/DV-chl a ratio, previously noted, stemmed essentially from the \( c_{\text{DV-chl a}} \) variations. The main effect of zeaxanthin was therefore to enhance the \( Q_d(\lambda) \) values (via the \( a_{\text{cm}}(\lambda) \) coefficients, see Eq. 4), and thus to reduce the effect of \( c_{\text{DV-chl a}} \) variations, by increasing the general 'level' of \( a_{\text{cm}}(\lambda) \) whatever the irradiance conditions. Intracellular concentrations of other pigments, which were 1 order of magnitude lower than that of DV-chl a, contributed very little to \( a_{\text{cm}}(\lambda) \) and \( Q_d(\lambda) \) variations with time. The mean cell size showed only very weak variations (e.g. 0.82 to 0.71 µm for the L→H transfer), which had a negligible impact upon the \( Q_d(\lambda) \) variations.

For the SARG strain, similar trends were observed as a result of the H→L transfer, namely the increase
of \( c_{LDV-chl a} \) by a factor of 2, the stability of the intracellular concentration of zeaxanthin and no significant variation in the cell size (Fig. 6f). Conversely to the MED strain, the intracellular concentration of DV-chl b + chl b was always significant, and increased by more than a factor of 4 during the experiment. Therefore the presence of these pigments contributed here not only to enhance the \( \overline{Q}_a(\lambda) \) values in all light conditions, but also to reinforce the effect of \( c_{LDV-chl a} \) variations during photoacclimation.

The package effect in *Prochlorococcus* and the implications for its photosynthetic performance

For the range of \( \overline{Q}_a(\lambda) \) values estimated above for both strains (0.15 to 0.33), the \( \overline{Q}_a(\lambda) \) versus \( \rho' \) relationship (Eq. 4) departs from linearity (see Fig. 1 in Morel & Bricaud 1981), which suggests that in these experiments *Prochlorococcus* cells underwent a non-negligible package effect. A quantitative evaluation of this effect (and of the consequences upon the absorption capacity of cells) for the various experiments can be made by computing the 'package effect index', \( Q_{a}^{*}(\lambda) \).

This index is defined as the ratio of \( a^{*}(\lambda) \) to the specific absorption coefficient of the same material ideally dispersed into solution (Morel & Bricaud 1981), and decreases from 1 (no package effect) to 0 (maximal package effect). For a given wavelength and size, \( Q_{a}^{*}(\lambda) \) can be computed from \( a_{cm}(\lambda) \) and \( D \) (Eqs. 1 & 6 in Morel & Bricaud 1981, with \( \rho' = a_{cm}(\lambda)/D \)); then, for the actual size distribution, \( Q_{a}^{*}(\lambda) \) is derived by averaging according to \( R(D) \).

At high irradiance, \( Q_{a}^{*}(445) \) is around 0.90 for both strains, which means that the maximal absorption capacity of pigments is depressed by 10%. At low irradiance, \( Q_{a}^{*}(445) \) decreases only to 0.85 for the SARG strain, but down to 0.77–0.83 for the MED strain (Fig. 7). Note that if, as hypothesized above, carotenoid concentrations and/or \( a_{sol}^{*}(\lambda) \) coefficients used in theoretical computations are underestimated (both leading to an underestimate of \( a_{cm} \)), then the actual \( Q_{a}^{*}(\lambda) \) values will be still lower and the package effect will be reinforced.

The range of values observed here for \( Q_{a}^{*}(445) \) is rather narrow, because the range of variation of irradiance was restricted with respect to the expected in situ values. The 'high' and 'low' irradiances used in these experiments correspond to approximately 10% and 1% of the (24 h averaged) surface irradiance in waters where *Prochlorococcus* is found (Cailliau et al. 1996). Near the surface (where \( c_{LDV-chl a} \) is probably still lower than the values measured here at 'high' irradiance), the \( Q_{a}^{*}(445) \) values are expected to be close to 1, and the package effect to be insignificant for *Prochlorococcus*. In contrast, in light regimes prevailing at the bottom of the euphotic zone, and below the 1% light level, the package effect could reduce the absorption efficiency of *Prochlorococcus* (per unit of DV-chl a) by as much as 20 to 25%.

This decrease in \( Q_{a}^{*}(\lambda) \) corresponds to a decrease in the amount of absorbed energy usable for photosynthesis (when expressed with respect to the DV-chl a content), and ultimately in the photosynthetic performance of *Prochlorococcus* cells when they travel from high-light to low-light environments. This decrease in absorbed energy can be compared with that resulting from the decreasing contribution of zeaxanthin to the overall absorption. From Eq. (5), the contribution of zeaxanthin to the total \( a_{cm}(\lambda) \) value (at 445 nm) is estimated to decrease from 41 to 28% for the MED H→L transfer (with a symmetric increase, from 29 to 45%, for the inverse transfer). These proportions are virtually the same for the SARG H→L transfer (44 and 27%, respectively). These results compare well with those obtained by Moore et al. (1995) under similar light conditions. It is recalled here that the presence of zeaxanthin (a non-photosynthetic pigment) has no direct effect upon the amount of energy usable for photosyn-
thesis. However, it intervenes in an indirect way, by enhancing Q\(\lambda\) (i.e. by reinforcing the package effect) under all light conditions.

It is interesting to compare the changes in the package effect observed for Prochlorococcus with those occurring for other phytoplanktonic groups. Many studies have evidenced the changes in cellular pigment contents and composition with photoacclimation, for a wide range of algal species (see e.g. the reviews by Falkowski & LaRoche 1991, Kirk 1994). However, these changes have been seldom analyzed in terms of absorption coefficients or efficiency factors. Absorption measurements made at various irradiances by Falkowski et al. (1985), Morel et al. (1987) and Mitchell & Kiefer (1988) on large algal species, have evidenced variations in \(Q\lambda^{*}(\lambda)\) by at least a factor of 2 (no estimate of \(Q\lambda^{*}(\lambda)\) in the blue part of the spectrum can be inferred from these studies, because of associated changes in the pigment composition). A direct assessment of the packaging effect at 445 nm can be inferred from the study of Berner et al. (1989), who measured absorption coefficients for cultures of the chlorophyte Dunaliella tertiolecta acclimated at 700 and 70 µmol quant\(\text{a}m^{-2}s^{-1}\), before and after solubilization of thylakoid membranes in a non-ionic detergent. From their measurements, \(Q\lambda^{*}(445)\) is found to vary from 0.92 for the culture acclimated at 700 µmol quant\(\text{a}m^{-2}s^{-1}\), to 0.55 at 70 µmol quant\(\text{a}m^{-2}s^{-1}\) (their Fig. 1). It is obviously not surprising that for large algal species \(Q\lambda^{*}(445)\) encompasses a much wider range than for Prochlorococcus.

CONCLUSIONS

Both MED and SARG strains of Prochlorococcus undergo significant changes in their DV-chl a-specific absorption coefficients, \(a^{\ast}(\lambda)\), in response to light variations. The MED strain, in particular, appears to be the most sensitive to the radiative level, with a decrease of \(a^{\ast}(\lambda)\) at the blue maximum (445 nm) by 20% when transferred from high to low irradiance. It is worth recalling that the 'high' irradiance (56.7 µmol quant\(\text{a}m^{-2}s^{-1}\)) is well below the radiative levels possibly encountered in the near-surface, well-lit layers (up to 1000–1500 µmol quant\(\text{a}m^{-2}s^{-1}\) at noon); therefore the range encompassed by \(a^{\ast}(\lambda)\) is expected to be much larger for natural populations of Prochlorococcus, in agreement with previous observations in oligotrophic waters (Babin et al. 1996, Allali et al. 1997). For a given light regime, chl-specific absorption coefficients are on average higher for the SARG strain than for the MED strain, essentially as a result of higher DV-chl b contents (and the presence of MV-chl b) and higher zeaxanthin/DV-chl a ratios.

Experiments on photoacclimation kinetics suggest that for the MED strain photoacclimation is achieved within approximately 40 h (2 cell generations) for the low to high irradiance transfer and 3 to 4 d (1 cell generation) for the inverse transfer. For the SARG strain transferred from high to low irradiance, the 3 d period was seemingly insufficient for full photoacclimation. These rather long photoacclimation periods observed for absorption, support the conclusions of Babin et al. (unpubl.) that Prochlorococcus possesses only 1 main photoacclimation strategy, effective at a time scale of a few days. These authors suggest that this might help to explain why Prochlorococcus dominates oligotrophic, well-stratified systems, but not eutrophic waters, where cells have to face short-term variations in irradiance.

The variations of \(a^{\ast}(\lambda)\) observed during photoacclimation for the 2 strains were reproduced by theory within a reasonable degree of accuracy using the cell characteristics (intracellular concentrations of the various pigments and cell size distribution) as input parameters. Therefore, the respective contributions of these various parameters to the variations in \(a^{\ast}(\lambda)\) and \(Q\lambda(\lambda)\) with time were quantified. As the intracellular DV-chl a concentration varies widely, whereas that of zeaxanthin remains stable whatever the light conditions, this non-photosynthetic pigment is, by virtue of normalization to DV-chl a, the major source of variability in \(a^{\ast}(\lambda)\). This does not mean, however, that the amount of 'photosynthetically efficient' absorbed energy is the same at all irradiances. The \(Q\lambda(\lambda)\) values, and therefore the package effect, are enhanced at low irradiance by 2 factors: (1) the notable increase of the intracellular concentration of DV-chl a, and (2) for the SARG strain only, the increase of the intracellular concentrations of chl b and DV-chl b (variations in cell size, which could also have influenced \(Q\lambda(\lambda)\), have been found to be insignificant). These factors entail some reduction (20 to 25 %) of the light absorption efficiency of Prochlorococcus (per unit of DV-chl a) for the irradiances prevailing in the lower part of the euphotic zone. The effect of zeaxanthin is actually to enhance the \(Q\lambda(\lambda)\) values under all light conditions, and therefore to reinforce the package effect and to make it less variable with irradiance (i.e. along the vertical) than it would be in the absence of this pigment.
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